管院博士班課程大綱 Ph.D. Program Syllabus

2022.05.30 修訂

系所 Department	資管所 IM		必選修		必修 Compulsory	
			compulsory/elective			
課程名稱	111_1_5307139_01		學分數 Credit(s)		3	
Course title	高等管理	資訊系統				
	Advanced	Management Information	ation			
	Systems					
	(全英語控	爰課/English-taught)				
	Class size	up to 12 students				
學年/學期 academic	選擇一個	項目。		上課地點		COM 443
year/Semester	111-1 學其	朔 Fall semester 2021		Classroom		
講授教師	Eldon Y.	Li 李有仁		上課時間		Tuesday
Instructor				Time		6:30 PM~9:20 PM
教師辦公室&諮詢時	間 管院	618, time TBD	教師聯約	各資訊	Phone	e: 0916733800
Instructor office numb	er		Instructo	r Contact	r Contact Email: miseli@	
& office hour						
助教	TBD		助教 聯	絡資訊	Email	l: TBD
Teaching assistant	TA contact					
先修課程	Ph.D. 1 st year or higher standing and basic knowledge in MIS.					
Pre-requisite courses						
課程目標	This course introduces the students to outstanding research papers in the extant MIS-					
Course Objective	 related literature. The fields of study stem from electronic business mobile communications to consumer behavior. The students must identify an area of interest in which an extensive literature review will be conducted, and a report will be completed. This course will be conducted in English. Therefore, all presentations, discussions, and research reports must be in English. At the end of the course, students will be able to acquire: (1) Common understanding and language in MIS discipline: Ability to communicate with peers with special terminologies and a common body of knowledge. (2) Research and analytical abilities: Ability to read, criticize and present MIS journal articles; to define research questions; to formulate a theory or model; to analyze data and explain research results. (3) MIS critical thinking ability: Ability to address questions and construct models; to apply models to management decision making. (4) Knowledge of latest developments and trends in technologies: Ability to innovate and apply MIS model; (5) Academic ethics; ability to publish in major international academic journals and conferences. 					
		K證學習目標 Assura		- · · ·		
		·習目標 (Major or n				
主要學習目標 Majo	r learning	次要學習目標 M	inor learn	ing 選擇·	一個項	目。

goal		goal	選擇一個項目。	
目標 2:創造力與創新		目標1:知識整合		
		LG1:Knowledge Integration		
 教材	READING	0 0		
Teaching materials				
-	[1] *Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. <i>Organizational Behavior and</i>			
	Huma	n Decision Processes, 50 (2), 179-2	211.	
	[2] Bagoz	zzi, R. and Phillips, L. (1991) Assess	ing construct validity in organizational	
	resear	ch. Administrative Science Quarter	ly , 36 (3), 421-458.	
		• • • •	information systems continuance: an	
	· ·	tation-confirmation model. MIS Qua		
		•	004). Understanding changes in belief	
			ogy usage: a theoretical model and	
		udinal test. <i>MIS Quarterly</i> , 28 (2), 2		
			e, J.N. (2005.3). Behavioral intention	
			ing the roles of extrinsic motivators,	
	87-10		ional climate. MIS Quarterly, 29 (1),	
			ounded theory research: Procedures,	
		s, and evaluative criteria. <i>Qualitative</i>	•	
			aw, P.R. (1989). User acceptance of	
		-	wo theoretical models. <i>Management</i>	
		ce, 35 (8), 982-1003.		
			The DeLone and McLean Model of	
			ar update. Journal of Management	
	Inform	<i>nation Systems</i> , 19 (4), 9-30.		
	[9] DeSar	nctis, G., & Poole, M. S. (1994). C	apturing the complexity in advanced	
	techno	ology use: Adaptive structuration the	ory. Organization Science, 5(2), 121-	
	147.			
	[10] Doll,	W.J., Xia, W. and Torkzadeh, G. (19	94). A confirmation of factor analysis	
	of the	end-user computer satisfaction inst	trument. MIS Quarterly, 18 (4), 453-	
	461.			
	[11] Drnev	ich, P.L. and Croson, D.C. (2013).	Information technology and business-	
	level s	strategy: toward an integrated theory	etical perspective. MIS Quarterly, 37	
	× /·	33-509.		
			es from case study research. <i>Academy</i>	
	, v	nagement Review, 14(4), 532-550.		
			ining the role of user participation in	
	inforn	nation system use. Management Sci	ence, 40 (4), 440-465.	

[14] Jiang, Z. and Benbasat, I. (2005). The Effect of presentation formats and task complexity on online consumers' product understanding. <i>MIS Quarterly</i> , 31 (3), 475-500.
[15] *Karahanna, E., Straub, D.W. and Chervany, N.L. (1999). Information
	technology adoption across time: a cross-sectional comparison of pre-adoption and post-adoption beliefs. <i>MIS Quarterly</i> , 23 (2), 183-213.
[16] Lewis, W., Agarwal, R. and Sambamurthy, V. (2003). Sources of influence on beliefs about information technology use: an empirical study of knowledge
	workers. <i>MIS Quarterly</i> , 27 (4), 657-678.
[17] *Li, E.Y., Liao, C.H., Yen, H.J.R. (2013.11) "Co-Authorship Networks and
	Research Impact: A Social Capital Perspective," <i>Research Policy</i> (Elsevier), 42 (9), 1515-1530. (Lecture by Eldon)
[18] *Li, E.Y., Tung, C.Y., Chang, S.H. (2016.8). The Wisdom of Crowds in Action:
	Forecasting Epidemic Diseases with a Web-based Prediction Market System.
	International Journal of Medical Informatics, 92, 35-43. (Lecture by Eldon)
[10] Limayem, M., Hirt, S.G., and Cheung, M.K. (2007). How habit limits the
	predictive power of intention: the case of information systems continuance. <i>MIS</i>
[20	Quarterly , 31 (4), 705-737.
[20] Malhotra, Y., Galletta, D.F. and Kirsch, L.J. (2008). How endogenous
	motivations influence user intentions: beyond the dichotomy of extrinsic and
	intrinsic user motivations. Journal of Management Information Systems, 25
50.1	(1), 267-299.
[21] Markus, M. L., & Robey, D. (1988). Information technology and organizational
	change: causal structure in theory and research. <i>Management Science</i> , 34(5), 583-598.
[22] *Nahapiet, J. and Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the
	organizational advantage. Academy of Management Review, 23 (2), 242-266.
[23] Oliver, R.L. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of
	satisfaction decisions. Journal of Marketing Research, 17 (4), 460-469.
[24] Orlikowski, W. J. (1993). CASE tools as organizational change: Investigating
	incremental and radical changes in systems development. <i>MIS Quarterly</i> , 27 (3), 309-340.
[25] *Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., & Berry, L. L. (1985). A conceptual model of
	service quality and its implications for future research. <i>Journal of Marketing</i> ,
	49(4), 41-50.
[26] *Pavlou, P.A. and Gefen, D. (2004). Building Effective Online marketplaces with
	institution-based trust. Information Systems Research, 15 (1), 37-59.
[27] *Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J.Y. and Podsakoff, N.P.
	(2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the

	 literature and recommended remedies. <i>Journal of Applied Psychology</i>, 88 (5), 879-903. [28] Srite, M. and Karahanna, E. (2006). The role of espoused national cultural values in technology acceptance. <i>MIS Quarterly</i>, 30 (3), 679-704.
	[29] Sutton, R. I., & Staw, B. M. (1995). What theory is not. Administrative Science Quarterly, 40 (3), 371-384. (Lecture by Eldon)
	[30] *Venkatesh, V., Morris, M.G., Davis, G.B., and Davis, F.D. (2003). User Acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. <i>MIS Quarterly</i> , (27:3), 425-478.
	 [31] *Wang, W. and Benbasat, I. (2008). Attributions of trust in decision support technologies: A study of recommendation agents for e-commerce. <i>Journal of Management Information Systems</i>, 24 (4), 249-273.
	[32] *Wixom, B.H. and Todd, P.A. (2005). A theoretical integration of user
	satisfaction and technology acceptance. <i>Information Systems Research</i> , 16 (1), 85-102.
	[33] Yen, H.J., Hu, P.J.H., Hsu, S.H.Y., and Li, E.Y. (2015). A Multilevel Approach to Examine Employees' Loyal Use of ERP Systems in Organizations. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Management Information Systems</i> , 32 (4), 144-178. (Lecture by Eldon)
	[34] Yen, H.J.R., Li, E.Y. and Niehoff, B. (2008.9). Do organizational citizenship
	behaviors lead to information system success? Testing the mediation effects of
	integration climate and project management. Information & Management, 45
	(6), 394-402. (Lecture by Eldon)
	Supplementary article:
	[1] *Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. <i>British Journal of Management</i> , 14(3), 207-222.
	[2] Galvagno, M. & Dalli, D. (2014). Theory of value co-creation: A systematic literature review. <i>Managing Service Quality: An International Journal</i> , 24(6), 643-683. https://doi.org/10.1108/MSQ-09-2013-0187
	 [3] Lim, S., Saldanha, T. J., Malladi, S., & Melville, N. P. (2013). Theories used in information systems research: Insights from complex network analysis. <i>JITTA: Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application</i>, 14(2), 5-46.
	 [4] Marikyan, D., Papagiannidis, S., & Alamanos, E. (2019). A systematic review of the smart home literature: A user perspective. <i>Technological Forecasting and Social Change</i>, <i>138</i>, 139-154.
網址 Course website	 [1] <u>http://eli.johogo.com/Class/CCU/</u> [2] <i>Theories Used in IS Research Wiki</i>. Retrieved September 18, 2021, from <u>http://is.theorizeit.org/</u> [3] <u>https://www.simplypsychology.org/</u>

教科書/參考書	[1] Franklin, C.A., Klingenberg, B., & Agresti, A. (2018). Stat	tistics: The Art and
Textbooks/Reference		Essex: Pearson.
	https://1lib.tw/book/3524805/fe160a?dsource=recommend	Multivariato Data
	[2] Hair Jr., J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E. (2019) Analysis, 8th Ed. Boston, MA: Co	engage Group.
	https://1lib.tw/book/5393975/e2f865 .	onguge oroup.
	[3] Hair Jr., J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A Primer on
	Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-	
	-	age publications.
	https://1lib.tw/book/3675124/deb725 . [4] Kline, R. B. (2015). Principles and Practice of Structural I	Fauation Modeling
		ilford Press.
	https://1lib.tw/book/2648263/8536fb?dsource=recommend.	
	[5] Raudenbush, S. W., & Bryk, A. S. (2002). Hierarchica	al Linear Models:
	Applications and Data Analysis Methods. 2nd Ed. Thousan	nd Oaks, CA: Sage
	Publications. https://1lib.tw/book/5498784/45b825.	Cuida to Structural
	[6] Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2004). <i>A Beginner's Equation Modeling. 2nd Ed.</i> Mahwah, NJ: La	
	Associates. https://1lib.tw/book/592202/8d5ea4.	
評量方式(請填百分	課堂參與 Participation	8%
比)	作業 Homework (8 Reading Summaries) 4%×8	32%
Assessment	報告 Oral Presentations (15%×2=30%):	30%
	其他 Research Report	30%
其他說明	PEDAGOGY:	
Other description	The pedagogy of this course is a mix of lectures, seminars, and act	
	will present reading reports orally in class. The instructor will lectu to prepare a proposal for a complete research project.	tre on research tools
	to propure a proposal for a complete research project.	
	COURSE PLANNING:	
	During the semester, each student is required to make an oral pre	
	two assigned reading papers selected from top academic journals:	-
	AMJ, AMR, DSS, MgtSci, I&M. During the presentation, you will of research purpose literature reviews and methodology; and	-
	conclusions and implications and limitations and criticisms. In ea	•
	160 minutes, two presentations will be scheduled, and each lasts	
	leaving 20-30 minutes for discussion.	
	COURSE POLICIES: Although no attendance will be taken, meaningful class participa	tion will be a factor
	in determining your grade. As in all classes, the more you put in	
	you get out of it. We encourage sharing your ideas during class di	
	You are responsible for all material presented in class, even	•
	you miss a class, you must get notes from someone else in the	-
	someone to pick up any hand-outs for you. If you plan to attend cl time and remain for the entire lecture. Turn off any pagers or cell	-
	working hard in teaching this class and expect you to work hard.	unar priories. we die
	Attending class is one thing; what you do while you are t	there is another. Of
	course, we expect you to be attentive and not disruptive. Being	disruptive includes
	reading newspapers or other books, talking to others workin	
	assignments, etc.; if these things are more important than our dise	cussion, you should

plan to do them somewhere else, not in class. Being attentive implies staying awake, taking notes, and participating in classroom discussions. If you are working on something else, you are not participating in this class.

<u>Finally, according to school regulation, anyone who misses 6 class sessions will</u> not receive any grade for the class, i.e., the grade score will be "0".

GRADE COMPONENTS:

Oral Presentations (30%)

- Each student will make 2 oral presentations for the reading assignments. Each presentation will be judged by your peers on a scale of 1 to 10 (the best). The instructor will consider these peer scores for assigning the final score.
- You will have up to 50 minutes to present the paper to the class during the presentation. No overtime is allowed during the presentation.
- The presentation counts 15% of your course grade. If you do not have the PowerPoint handouts of the paper ready by the time of your presentation, you will lose the entire grade.
- The key to a successful presentation is to present the materials without using any note cards. You should list all the agenda items (i.e., headings only) on the PPT slides. Then, hand-out only carefully selected material to the audience. Do not let the audience feel that you are not prepared or did not do your homework beforehand.
- You are required to read all the papers being presented in each class. The instructors might ask you to answer the questions regarding the issues in the papers during the presentation. The quality of your answer will be considered for the class participation grade.

Reading Summaries (32%):

You are required to read all papers for the presentation day before you come to class. Furthermore, you must read and summarize 8 papers and turn in by email a summary for each of them; these do not include the paper you will be presenting. Instead, please submit a reading summary of paper #17 ("Co-Authorship Networks and Research Impact: A Social Capital Perspective") on the last day of class. If any paper you selected will be presented in class, you must email me the summary at the beginning of the class. Each summary counts <u>4%</u> of your course grade and contains the answers to the following questions:

- (1) What is the research purpose?
- (2) What is the research method?
- (3) What are the research findings?
- (4) What are the implications for research/management?
- (5) What are the contributions of this paper?
- (6) What are the strengths and weaknesses of this paper?
- (7) How would you overcome the weaknesses of this paper?

Research Paper (30%):

You are required to submit a research paper from a systematic review of the literature relevant to your research interest. The due date is one week after the last day of class. The organization of your paper follows the common style of a journal paper. The grading of your paper is based on the following criteria.

- Readability
- Accuracy
- Comprehensiveness

- Clarity
- Methodology
- Analysis
- Conclusions
- Recommendations
- Theoretical contributions

For a sample article, please review:

• Lim, S., Saldanha, T. J., Malladi, S., & Melville, N. P. (2013). <u>Theories used in</u> <u>information systems research: Insights from complex network analysis</u>. *JITTA: Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*, 14(2), 5-46.

Class Participation (8%):

You are expected to attend each class on time with the assigned readings prepared in advance and contribute to the class discussion either by starting the discussion or building on the contribution of others to move the discussion forward. Sharing your experience and insights is a key part of the learning process. However, to build on the contribution of others requires you to listen and consider the timing of your participation.

The quality and frequency of your contribution will be considered in the grading scheme and will include the quality of your responses when cold-called. You will be evaluated after every class session using the following criteria. Please note that contributions are NOT equivalent to only attending class or talking in class. The quality of what is said and your listening and responding to others are important criteria of my evaluation.

Excellent Participation (A): (1) regularly initiates class discussions; (2) contributes consistently to class discussions; (3) regularly gives an indication of substantial knowledge and insights; (4) frequently facilitates others in clarifying and developing their viewpoints; (5) regularly builds on the thinking of others and integrates that thinking into own contributions to produce a larger synergistic understanding of the issues being discussed.

Good Participation (B): (1) frequently initiates class discussions; (2) contributes consistently to class discussions; (3) regularly gives an indication of substantial knowledge and insights; (4) occasionally facilitates others in clarifying and developing their viewpoints.

Fair Participation (C): (1) occasionally initiates class discussions; (2) contributes occasionally to class discussions; (3) gives an indication of some knowledge and insights; (4) almost never responds constructively to the contribution of others.

Poor Participation (D): (1) never or almost never initiates class discussions; (2) never or almost never contributes to class discussions; (3) is late for, does not attend, or is not prepared for three or more classes; (4) actively inhibits or impedes the course of discussion; (5) exhibits defensive behavior such as aggression or withdrawal rather than being thoughtful and considerate of others' ideas.

Failing Participation (F): (1) never or almost never initiates class discussions; (2) never or almost never contributes to class discussions; (3) is late for, does not attend, or is not prepared for six or more classes; (4) actively inhibits or impedes the course of discussion; (5) exhibits defensive behavior such as aggression or withdrawal rather than being thoughtful and considerate of others' ideas.

COPYRIGHT NOTICE:

Course materials may be protected by copyright. Specifically, copyright law and University policy and procedures prohibit unauthorized duplication or retransmission of course materials. *請尊重智慧財產權,不得非法影印教師指定之教科書籍。

課程規劃表 Course Schedule

週次	日期	内容	教材章節
week Date De		Description	Textbook
1	9/6	Introduction and discussion	Handout
2	9/13	Lecture: Knowing your contribution	Readings #29, #35
3	9/20	Lecture: Systematic literature review	Readings #35-#37
4	9/27	Lecture: OCB and ISS	Reading #34
5	10/4	Lecture: Collaboration network	Readings #17
6	10/11	Lecture: Wisdom of the crowd	Readings #18
7	#10/18	Library research	ICEB 2022 Bangkok https://iceb2022.johogo.com
8	10/25	Lecture: Multilevel modeling	Readings #33
9	*11/1	*Midterm exam week	No class
10	11/8	Readings presentations 1-2 & discussion	Assigned readings
11	11/15	Readings presentations 3-4 & discussion	Assigned readings
12	11/22	Guest Speech Lecture: Trust dynamics	Research paper writing
13	11/29	Readings presentations 5-6 & discussion	Assigned readings
14	12/6	Readings presentations 7-8 & discussion	Assigned readings
15	12/13	Guest Speech	Research paper writing
16	12/20	Research paper presentations 1-2 & discussion	Assigned research
17	12/27	Research paper presentations 3-4 & discussion	Assigned research
18	*1/3	*Final exam week	No class
19	1/10	* * * Research paper due	*** Submit paper and ppt files

* Midterm and final exam week; No class.
No class on this date due to ICEB 2022 conference attendance. Students not participating in the conference should conduct library research for their papers.